

The Doppler shift in a Schwarzschild spacetime

A. Radosz ^{a,*} A.T. Augousti ^b K. Ostasiewicz ^a

^a*Institute of Physics, Wrocław University of Technology,
Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland*

^b*Faculty of Science, Kingston University, Kingston, Surrey KT1 2EE UK*

Abstract

We demonstrate that in the case of Schwarzschild spacetime the Doppler shift is partially factorized into terms representing relativistic, kinematical and the gravitational contributions. The condition for the complete factorization is derived. Application of these results to the simplest cases and possible implementation in the framework of GPS is briefly discussed.

Key words: Doppler shift, Schwarzschild geometry
PACS: 03.30.+p, 04.20.Cv

Light emitted by a moving source in a gravitational field is shifted in frequency. This frequency shift is a combined effect consisting of contributions arising from kinematical and gravitational origins. In general, it is expressed in the form of entangled velocity components (see [1,2]). Consider a source A and a receiver B, traveling along an arbitrary trajectory in a gravitational field; in this case the frequency shift can be expressed in terms of the components of the relative velocity between A and B. Explicitly, this takes the form,

$$\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_A} = \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{1 - v_{AB}^2}\right)^{-1} + v_R}, \quad (1)$$

where quantities relating to the relative motion of A and B have been introduced, namely the *speed* v_{AB} and the *recession speed* v_R [1] (see below).

* Corresponding author

Email addresses: Andrzej.Radosz@pwr.wroc.pl (A. Radosz),
augousti@kingston.ac.uk (A.T. Augousti),
Katarzyna.Ostasiewicz@pwr.wroc.pl (K. Ostasiewicz).

One can see from Eq. (1) that different contributions to the frequency shift have been entangled in such a way that the effect of the gravitational field is not explicitly manifested (though see [1,2]). On the other hand, one might expect that under particular symmetries (for instance, of the spacetime geometry or of particular trajectories within a given spacetime geometry) a decoupling of (at least) some of the effects contributing to the total frequency shift may occur. Such a decoupling is expected to be revealed in a factorized form of the total Doppler shift (1).

In this paper we confine ourselves to a discussion of the case of a Schwarzschild spacetime geometry. In such a case one can identify three different forms of frequency shift, namely gravitational (blue/red) shift and the shift arising from kinematical effects (classical and relativistic). The expression for the frequency shift is derived here in the case of arbitrary motion of observers A and B exchanging light signals and it is expressed in terms of these three contributions. It is found that due to the spacetime symmetry a partial decoupling occurs, namely via factorization of the relativistic contributions, the kinematical one and the gravitational shift. The kinematical factor, time-dilation-like, appears in inverse form. The velocity components of observers A and B and the wave vector components for the light signal are mixed in the form of scalar product, leading to a particular type of coupling. It is suggested that this result might be of interest in the context of Satellite Navigational Systems [1,2,3,4]. It is shown that *complete factorization* into gravitational and kinematical contributions occurs for a special class of trajectories for A and B — in this case the conventional form of time dilation factor may be restored. A similar factorization also pertains for a particular in-falling trajectory in the case of a Kerr geometry.

Let us begin the discussion from the Schwarzschild spacetime geometry, where the line element expressed in Schwarzschild coordinates takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \left(1 - \frac{r_S}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{r_S}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \\ &\equiv g_{tt} dt^2 + g_{rr} dr^2 + g_{\theta\theta} d\theta^2 + g_{\varphi\varphi} d\varphi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

There are two Killing vectors in this case, $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\xi}$,

$$\eta^\alpha = \delta_t^\alpha, \quad \xi^\alpha = \delta_\varphi^\alpha, \quad (3)$$

corresponding to energy and angular momentum conservation. A static observer S provides the absolute rest system, and his velocity is the normalized Killing vector $\tilde{\eta}$ (see, e.g., [5]):

$$\tilde{N} = (\eta^\alpha \eta_\alpha)^{-1/2} \tilde{\eta} = (g_{tt}^{-1/2}) \tilde{\eta}. \quad (4)$$

A *massless* particle (e.g., a photon) follows a (planar) null geodesic,

$$k^\alpha k_\alpha = 0, \quad (5)$$

where the tangential vector, the *wave vector*, is denoted as $\tilde{k}$. Its time component is conserved,

$$k_t = \omega_\infty, \quad (6)$$

where ω_∞ is the frequency observed at infinity. An observer B follows a world line, characterized by a time-like velocity vector $\tilde{U}$:

$$U^\alpha U_\alpha = 1. \quad (7)$$

Receiving a light signal at $\tilde{x}_B$, B measures its frequency as the time-component of the $\tilde{k}$ vector:

$$\omega_B = U^\alpha k_\alpha = U^t k_t \left(1 + \frac{U^i k_i}{U^t k_t} \right), \quad (8)$$

where the notation,

$$U^i k_i \equiv g_{rr} U^r k^r + g_{\varphi\varphi} U^\varphi k^\varphi \quad (9)$$

has been applied (geodesic (5) might be confined to an equatorial plane). The frequency shift is given as the ratio of the frequency of the light signal measured by B, Eq. (8), compared to its emission frequency. In order to express this in terms of gravitational and kinematical shifts, let us first consider the case of a distant, static source for the light signal, then the emission frequency is ω_∞ . The velocity $\tilde{V}$ of observer B with respect to the static observer S, is given by (see, e.g., [5]):

$$\tilde{V} = \tilde{U} - (U^\alpha N_\alpha) \tilde{N} = (0, U^i) \quad (10)$$

and is related to the *speed*, v_B , (as measured by S) as:

$$V^\alpha V_\alpha = -\frac{v_B^2}{1 - v_B^2} \equiv -(\gamma_B v_B)^2, \quad (11)$$

where γ_B is the usual time-dilation factor. Using (10) one finds that the time component U^t , may be expressed in the form of a product of gravitational

shift and time dilation factors (see also [6]),

$$U^t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_B)}} \gamma_B. \quad (12)$$

Further simplification of expression (8) results from the fact that the ratio within the bracket in Eq. (8) takes the form of the (pseudo)scalar product. Indeed, introducing the following *three-vectors* $\vec{v}$ and $\hat{\kappa}$:

$$v^i \equiv \frac{U^i}{\sqrt{g_{tt}} U^t}, \quad (13)$$

$$\kappa^i \equiv \frac{k^i}{\sqrt{g_{tt}} k^t}, \quad (14)$$

and applying (7) and (10),

$$v^2 = -\frac{U^i U_i}{U^t U_t} \quad (15)$$

one can express the ratio in Eq. (8)

$$\frac{U^i k_i}{U^t k_t} = v^i \kappa_i \quad (16)$$

as a (pseudo) scalar product of the *velocity*, $\vec{v}$, and *tangential (unit)*, $\hat{\kappa}$, three-vectors. Therefore, the frequency shift (8), with respect to a static, distant source, takes the partially decoupled form:

$$\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_\infty} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_B)}} \gamma_B (1 + v_B^i \kappa_i). \quad (17)$$

Generalization to the case of an arbitrary emission event A is straightforward. Source A follows a world line, characterized by a velocity vector $\tilde{U}_A$, and at position $\tilde{x}_A$ emits a light signal characterized by wave vector k' . Then the emission frequency is given by (see (8), (17))

$$\omega_A = \omega'_\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_A)}} \gamma_A (1 + v_A^i \kappa'_i). \quad (18)$$

As B receives a signal emitted by A,

$$\omega_\infty = \omega'_\infty$$

and the frequency shift, defined as a ratio of reception frequency (reception event by B) and emission frequency (emission event by A), is finally given as

$$\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_A} = \frac{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_A)}}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_B)}} \frac{\gamma_B}{\gamma_A} \frac{(1 + v_B^i \kappa_i)}{(1 + v_A^i \kappa_i)}. \quad (19)$$

As noted above, partial factorization of this expression into gravitational, $1/\sqrt{g_{tt}(r)}$, and kinematical, γ , contributions is the result of the symmetry of this spacetime (see also [6]). It should also be noted that the time dilation factor that appears in (19) is in an inverse form. The last factor on the right hand side (19), expresses auxiliary coupling of A and B velocity components via scalar products with tangential (unit) wave vectors.

The frequency shift, as given in (19), is applicable in the case of Schwarzschild spacetime, and here we would like to emphasize its manifestation in some simplest cases. In particular situations it may be further simplified. This occurs when the velocity vectors, $\vec{v}$ and a tangential unit wave vector $\hat{\kappa}$ are *parallel* (or anti-parallel), or *orthogonal*.

In the first case

$$(v^i \kappa_i)^2 = (v^i v_i) \cdot (\kappa^i \kappa_i) = v^2 \quad (20)$$

and

$$v^i \kappa_i = \mp v, \quad (21)$$

where “−” and “+” correspond to the parallel and anti-parallel orientation of $\vec{v}$ and $\hat{\kappa}$, respectively.

In the case of A and B travelling tangentially to the light ray during emission and reception of the signal, respectively, $\vec{v}$ and $\hat{\kappa}$ are *parallel*, and (19) simplifies to:

$$\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_A} = \frac{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_A)}}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_B)}} \frac{\gamma_A}{\gamma_B} \frac{(1 + v_A)}{(1 + v_B)}. \quad (22)$$

In this case the frequency shift is expressed in a factorized form of the ratios of relativistic contributions — gravitational shifts and time dilations — and classical Doppler shifts (the last term on the right hand side of (22)); the conventional form of the time dilation is restored.

In the second case, of orthogonal vectors $\vec{v}$ and $\hat{\kappa}$,

$$v^i \kappa_i = 0. \quad (23)$$

The frequency shift factorizes into gravitational shift and inverse time-dilation contributions:

$$\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_A} = \frac{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_A)} \gamma_B}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_B)} \gamma_A}. \quad (24)$$

It is worth identifying two special applications of (22).

In the case of equal velocities of the source, v_A , and detector, v_B , the frequency shift simplifies solely to the gravitational shift,

$$\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_A} = \frac{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_A)}}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_B)}}. \quad (25)$$

Both velocities v_A and v_B are measured with respect to the local static observers arranged at r_A and r_B , respectively.

In the case of a radial fall, an in-falling observer B, who starts free fall at r_A and receives a light signal emitted from the starting point A, finds a classical-like frequency shift (see also [7]):

$$\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_A} = \frac{1}{1 + v_B}. \quad (26)$$

On the other hand, signals sent by B towards observer A, are received as red-shifted (see (22)):

$$\frac{\omega_A}{\omega_B} = 1 - v_B. \quad (27)$$

When B approaches the critical radius r_S , its velocity goes to 1 as $v_B \propto \sqrt{r_S/r}$ and redshift (26) and redshift (27) are expressed as $(1 + \sqrt{r_S/r})^{-1}$ and $1 - \sqrt{r_S/r}$, respectively.

The status of result (19) is as follows. It has been derived for a particular geometry, therefore it should be regarded as a particular case of a more general formula, (1). The verification, though straightforward, is rather lengthy and

we illustrate it in the simplest case of radial free fall (26). In expression (1) key feature is A's four-velocity,

$$\tilde{U}_A(r_A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_A)}} \delta_t^\alpha \quad (28)$$

parallel transported to r_B :

$$U_A^t(r_B) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b) \quad U_A^r(r_B) = \frac{1}{2}g_{tt}(r_B)(a-b) \quad (29)$$

$$a = \frac{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_A)}}{g_{tt}(r_B)} \quad b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{tt}(r_A)}}. \quad (30)$$

Its scalar product with $\tilde{U}_B$ and with a unit vector, $\tilde{R}$ orthogonal to $\tilde{U}_B$,

$$\tilde{R}(r_B) = \frac{1}{g_{tt}(r_B)} (U_B^r, g_{tt}(r_B) U_B^t) \quad (31)$$

yields a *relative speed* term, $1/\sqrt{1-v_{AB}^2}$, and a so-called *recession speed* v_R (see [1]), respectively.

Then inserting both these scalar products into (1) one obtains

$$\frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{1-v_{AB}^2}\right)^{-1} + v_R} = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{g_{tt}(r_A) - g_{tt}(r_B)}{g_{tt}(r_A)}}}, \quad (32)$$

i.e., the result (26).

Let us discuss the broader context of the main result (19). The approach presented above might be of wider practical use. Indeed, following Bahder's [1] treatment of "relativity of GPS" (see also [3,4]), the metric applied in that case (Earth-centered inertial) is:

$$ds^2 = \left(1 + \frac{2}{c^2}(V - \varphi_O)\right) (dx^0)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2}{c^2}V\right)^{-1} \left((dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2\right), \quad (33)$$

where V and φ_O denote Earth's potential and geopotential on the equator. This metric is time independent and diagonal. In such a case our method and result (19) might be implemented — the difference between the approach

presented here and those discussed in [1,3,4] is obvious: we apply the key element of the Schwarzschild geometry, an absolute rest system. Then the frequency shift factorizes in a natural way into gravitational and (inverse!) time-dilation factors. As underlined elsewhere [6] this property is preserved in metric (33).

Linet and Teyssandier [2] discussed the problem of frequency shift for a broader class of potentials, namely axisymmetric potentials for rotating gravitational field source. The weak-field and slow-motion case was considered and the expansion for the Doppler shift was given up to the terms of an order $1/c^4$. The frequency shift discussed in [2] is:

$$\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_A} = \frac{U_B^t (1 + u_B^i l_i(B))}{U_A^t (1 + u_A^i l_i(A))}, \quad (34)$$

where the normalized time component of the wave vector is conserved, $l_t(B/A) = 1$, (cf. (6)), and the product of spatial components is expressed in terms of coordinate velocity components, $u^i = U^i/U^t$,

$$\frac{U^i l_i}{U^t l_t} = u^i l_i. \quad (35)$$

In fact, expression (34) takes the form of the frequency shift (19), in the case of the Schwarzschild metric, where factorization (12) of U^t and parameterization (16), via velocity components (instead of coordinate velocity components) v^i (13,15) is used. In order to compare the Doppler shift expansions in reference [2] and in this paper we expand U^t (Eq. (12)) up to the order $1/c^4$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{U^t} &= \sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \approx \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{GM}{r} + \frac{1}{2} v^2 \right) + \frac{1}{c^4} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{GM}{r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{GM}{r} v^2 - \frac{1}{8} v^4 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where the usual notation has been used, to find coincidence with corresponding formula (76) in [2] (applied to the case of a Schwarzschild metric). However, in the final expression (see Eq.(77) in [2]) the squared velocity, v^2 (as measured by S), is substituted in [2] by the squared coordinate velocity u^2 . This leads to a difference in the Doppler shifts expansions, one given in reference [2] and the other following our approach, in the fourth order, $1/c^4$, as the relation between coordinate velocity u^i and velocity v^i is $u^i u_i = g_{tt} v^i v_i$, and their difference is of the order of $1/c^2$. It should be noted that expression (12) is exact in this case (see also [6]); it should also be emphasized that the expansion of U^t reveals inverse time-dilation dependence, which has not been pointed out before (see, e.g., [1,3,4]).

The problem of the application and implementation of frequency shift (19), in the framework of GPS, will be the subject of our following paper; additionally the question of the Doppler shift expansion as given in terms of velocity v^i or in terms of coordinate velocity u^i will be discussed in detail.

References

- [1] T.B. Bahder, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 063005.
- [2] B. Linet, P. Teyssandier, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 024045.
- [3] T. Matlocsi, M. Matlocsi, GPS revisited: the relation of proper time and coordinate time, arXiv:math-ph/0611086v2 17 Jul 2007.
- [4] J.-F. Pascual Sanchez, Introducing Relativity in Global Navigation Satellite Systems, arXiv:gr-qc/0507121v2 14 Dec 2005.
- [5] M.A. Abramowicz, S. Bajtlik, W. Kluzniak, Phys. Rev. A 75 (2007) 044101.
- [6] A. Radosz, A.T. Augousti, K. Ostasiewicz, Acta Phys. Polon. B 39 (2008) 1357.
- [7] A.T. Augousti, A. Radosz, Eur. J. Phys. 27 (2006) 331.